NOTICE OF PUBLICATION OF CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION TOWN OF CASCADE, MONTANA NEW WELL PROJECT

January 10, 2023

The Montana Department of Environmental Quality has reviewed the above-named project. The project consists of constructing a new well into the Madison formation. The new well will replace Madison well #1 with new Madison Well #3.

Pursuant to ARM 17.40.318, the Department has concluded that the proposed project meets the Categorical Exclusion criteria of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and the Montana Environmental Policy Act (MEPA). The Categorical Exclusion may be revoked if the project is not initiated within the time period specified in the planning documents, a new or modified application is submitted, new evidence demonstrates serious local or environmental issues exist, or state, local, tribal, or federal laws are violated. The documentation for the Categorical Exclusion is available for public review at the following locations:


Department of Environmental Quality State Revolving Fund Loan Program 1520 East Sixth Avenue Helena, MT 59601 Cascade Town Hall 9 Front Street North Cascade, MT 59421

Sincerely.

Sandie Koenig, acting DWSRF Engineering Manager

Engineering Bureau Water Quality Division

Montana Department of Environmental Quality



CHAPTER IV - ATTACHMENT A DOCUMENTATION OF CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION CHECKLIST

Project Name / Brief Description: Cascade new well		
Project Number:		
Date: January 5, 2023		
Preparer: John McDunn		
The Department action under Title 75, chapter 5, part 11 and or chapter 6, part 1 or 2, MCA, is excluded from the requirement to prepare an environmental assessment (EA) or environmental impact statement (EIS) if the application for department review is for any of the following projects:		
(a) Projects relating to existing infrastructure systems such as sewer systems, drinking water supply systems, and stormwater systems, including combined sewer overflow systems that involve: [Answer yes or no. If all answers "no", an EA or EIS must be completed. If any answer is yes, skip to (b).]		
1. minor upgrading; Yes No No		
2. minor expansion of system capacity; Yes No No		
 rehabilitation (including functional replacement) of the existing system and system components; Yes No 		
 construction of new minor ancillary facilities adjacent to or on the same property as existing facilities; or Yes No 		
5. projects in unsewered communities involving the replacement existing on-site systems, provided that the new on-site systems do not result in substantial increases in the volume of discharges or in loadings of pollutants from existing sources, and do not relocate existing discharges. Yes No		
(b) A categorical exclusion may <u>not</u> be granted for a department action if: [Answer <u>yes</u> or <u>no</u> . If all answers " <u>no</u> ", skip to (c). If any answer is <u>yes</u> , an EA or EIS must be completed.]		
6. the action would authorize facilities that will provide a new discharge or relocate an existing discharge to ground or surface waters;		

under the Montana pollupollution control system receiving waters;	nt in an increase above permit levels established that discharge elimination system or Montana for either volume of discharge or loading rate No No	ground water
8. the action would authorize facilities that will provide capacity to serve a population at least 30% greater than the existing population; Yes No		
9. the action is not support	orted by the state, or other regional growth plan No O	n or strategy;
	indirectly involves or relates to upgrading or crimarily for the purposes of future development No	_
11. the department has received information indicating that public controversy exists over the project's potential effects on the quality of the human environment; Yes No		
action shows some poten	mines that the proposed project that is the subjutial for causing a significant effect on the qual ed on ARM 17.4.608, or might possibly affect	ity of the
	tal or cultural resource areas; or ened species and their critical habitats.	
CATEX, the reviewer v [Once all steps 1-17 are	ject meets the conditions above in determinivill then complete items 13 - 17 as follows: complete, reviewer shall sign and date at both assary, reviewer shall initiate an EA or EIS as	om. If
13. Project meets the above Categorical Exclusion criteria.		1/5/2023
14. DEQ determination of Categorical Exclusion.		1/5/2023
15. DEQ distributes the Notice of Determination.		1/10/2023
16. Notice of Publication and cover letter (containing revocation language below) is delivered to recipient.		
	published in local newspaper by publication provided to reviewer.	

(d) The department may revoke a categorical exclusion if: [Only complete the steps below 18 – 21 if revocation of a previously implemented CATEX becomes necessary.]
18. the project is not initiated within the time period specified in the facility plan, or a new or modified application is submitted;
19. the proposed action no longer meets the requirements for a categorical exclusion because of changes in the proposed action;
20. new evidence demonstrates that serious local or environmental issues exist; or
21. state, local, tribal, or federal laws may be violated.
John McDunn
STATE PREPARER
Anky
STATE REVIEWER
1-5-2023

COMPLETION DATE